

**IN THE SUPREME APPELLATE COURT GILGIT-BALTISTAN,
GILGIT.**

Before:-

Mr. Justice Dr. Rana Muhammad Shamim, Chief Judge.

Mr. Justice Javed Iqbal, Judge.

Mr. Justice Shahbaz Khan, Judge.

Cr.PLA. No. 08/2016.

1. Muhammad Sharif s/o Sardar Khan
2. Muhammad Aslam s/o Mir Afzal residence of Tehsil Gupis District Ghizer at present confined in Judicial Lockup District Ghizer.

Petitioners.

Versus

The State

Respondent.

CHARGE UNDER SECTION 302,324,460/34,109,342 P.P.C. VIDE FIR NO.19/2015, SECTION 13 ARMS ORDINANCE NO. 20 OF 1965 VIDE FIR Nos. 23/2015 AND 25/2015.

CRIMINAL PETITION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL UNDER ARTICLE 60 OF GILGIT-BALTISTAN (EMPOWERMENT & SELF GOVERNANCE ORDER 2009, READ WITH ENABLING ARTICLES OF SUPREME APPELLATE COURT RULES 2008 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 11.03.2016 PASSED BY THE CHIEF COURT GILGIT-BALTISTAN IN CR. MISC. /BAIL PETITION NO. 180/2015 WHEREBY LEARNED VACATION JUDGE OF CHIEF COURT HAS DISMISSED THE BAIL PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONERS HOLDING THAT PETITIONERS CANNOT SEEK BAIL ON THE GROUND OF DELAY ON LODGING F.I.R.

PRESENT:-

1. Mr. Amjad Hussain Advocate for the petitioners.
2. The Advocate General Gilgit-Baltistan on behalf of the respondent.

DATE OF HEARING: - 07.06.2016.

ORDER

Dr. Rana Muhammad Shamim, CJ..... The learned counsel for the petitioners after arguing his case at length seek permission to withdraw the case, if the direction is given to the learned Trial Court to examine all the material witnesses/evidence within a shortest possible time.

The learned Advocate General raised no objection regarding the request. The request is allowed accordingly. The

learned Trial Court is directed to examine all material witnesses/
evidence expeditiously within a period of three (03) months without
fail. Whereafter, the petitioner would be at liberty to repeat bail
application in the learned Trial Court, if so advised.

The petition is disposed off in above terms.

Chief Judge.

Judge.

Judge.

Whether the case is fit to be reported or not?