

IN THE SUPREME APPELLATE COURT GILGIT-BALTISTAN
GILGIT

Cr. Misc. No. 14/2010

Tahir Jan

Petitioner

Versus

The State

Respondent

Advocate General on court call

Mr. Amjad Hussain, Advocate for petitioner.

Haji Jamal Khan AOR.

Malik Haq Nawaz Sr. Advocate for the complainant.

Order Dated 24.03.2011

The petitioner while facing trial for the charges under section 302/34 PPC on dismissal of his application for grant of bail by the Chief Court has filed this petition.

The learned Counsel for petitioner contended that evidence brought on the record is not confidence inspiring and there is no possibility of ultimate conviction of the petitioner as the statements of star eye witness of prosecution under Section 161 and 164 Cr.P.C are self-contradictory. The learned counsel for the petitioner without further pressing this application submitted that if direction is given to the trial Court for conclusion of the trial at an early date, he would be satisfied.

This is a short case and request of learned counsel appears to be genuine, therefore, this petition is disposed of

with direction that trial court without giving unnecessary adjournments or showing latitude to either party to cause delay, will conclude the proceedings in the trial within three months, failing which, the petitioner will be entitled to move a fresh application for bail before the trial Court which shall be decided on its own merits.

This petition with the above observation stands disposed of.

Chief Judge

Judge

Judge